Amy R. Alpern, OSB No. 840244 LITTLER MENDELSON 1750 SW Harbor Way, Suite 450 Portland, OR 97201

Telephone: 503.221.0309 Fax No.: 503.226.2791

aalpern@littler.com

Of Attorneys for Defendant

FILED OF DEC 10 11:59USDC-ORM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ROBERTA HAMRICK,

Plaintiff,

v.

AQUA GLASS, INC., a foreign business corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 07 - 3089 - CL

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendant Aqua Glass, Inc., by filing this notice of removal and related papers, removes this action from the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Klamath to the United States District Court for the District of Oregon. Defendant states in support of its removal the following:

1. On November 8, 2007, plaintiff Roberta Hamrick filed a complaint in this action now pending in Klamath County Circuit Court as *Roberta Hamrick v. Aqua Glass, Inc.*, Case No. 0704502CV. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), all state court papers served on defendant at the time of the removal, consisting of a summons and complaint, are attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Page 1 – NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Littler Mendelson, PC 1750 SW Harbor Way, Suite 450 Portland, OR 97201 Telephone (503) 221-0309 Facsimile (503) 242-2457 2. This notice of removal is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1445(b), which provides

that a notice of removal must be filed within 30 days after a defendant receives, by service or

otherwise, the initial pleading. Defendant was served on November 8, 2007.

3. No further proceedings have been had in the Circuit Court of Klamath County,

Oregon as of the date of filing of this removal.

4. This is a civil action over which the Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

1331. This action may be removed because the action involves a federal question, i.e., alleged

violations of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.

5. This also is a civil action over which the Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28

U.S.C. § 1332. This action may be removed because:

(a) Defendant is organized and incorporated under the laws of the State of

Delaware and its principal place of business is Tennessee. Defendant is

not a citizen of the State of Oregon.

(b) Upon information and belief, at the time this action was commenced, the

named plaintiff, Roberta Hamrick, was a citizen of the State of Oregon.

Complaint ¶ 4.

(c) Plaintiff seeks, among things, economic and non-economic compensatory

damages, punitive damages, and attorney's fees and costs. Should Plaintiff

prevail, Title VII permits a plaintiff making these allegations to recover

compensatory and punitive damages, with a statutory cap on damages of

\$100,000. In light of this, and given that a prevailing plaintiff may be

entitled to attorney's fees and costs, the amount in controversy exceeds

\$75,000.00.

Page 2 – NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Littler Mendelson, PC 1750 SW Harbor Way, Suite 450 Portland, OR, 97201

Portland, OR 97201 Telephone (503) 221-0309

Facsimile (503) 242-2457

Accordingly, this is a civil action that is between citizens of different states within the

meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

6. Plaintiff asserts state law claims for sexual harassment, retaliation, and wrongful

discharge. Complaint ¶¶ 34, 40, and 42. Plaintiff's state law claims are so related to the federal

claims under Title VII that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the

United States Constitution.

7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 1332, 1441, and 1446, removal of the above-

captioned state court action to this Court is appropriate.

8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), removal is made to this Court as the district and

division embracing the place where the state action is pending.

9. Defendant is providing to plaintiff, through counsel, written notice of the filing of this

notice of removal. Further, defendant is filing a copy of this notice of removal with the Clerk of

the Circuit Court of Klamath County, Oregon where the action is currently pending.

DATED December 7, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

LITTLER MENDELSON

ithy R. Alpern, OSE/No. 840

Of Attorneys for Collins Pine Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of December, 2007, I served a full, true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF REMOVAL:

	By deli	very v	ia messer	nger, or	otherwise	by	hand,
--	---------	--------	-----------	----------	-----------	----	-------

☐ By facsimile,

☑ By mailing the same, postage prepaid,

Addressed to:

Craig A. Crispin Crispin Employment Lawyers 500 Plaza West, 9600 SW Oak Street Portland, OR 97223-6597

Of Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE STATE OF OREGON

FOR THE COUNTY OF KLAMATH

ROBERTA HAMRICK,

Plaintiff,

V.

AQUA GLASS, INC. a foreign business corporation,

Defendants.

Civil No. 0704 502CV

SUMMONS

To: Aqua Glass Corporation c/o CT Corporation System, Registered Agent 388 State St., Suite 420 Salem, OR 97301

You are hereby required to appear and defend the complaint filed against you in the above-entitled action within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this summons upon you, and in case of your failure to do so, for want thereof, plaintiff(s) will apply the court for the relief demanded in the complaint.

NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY!

You must "appear" in this case or the other side will win automatically. To "appear" you must file with the court a legal paper called a "motion" or "answer." The "motion" or "answer" must be given to the court clerk or administrator within 30 days along with the required filing fee. It must be in proper form and have proof of service on the plaintiff's attorney or, if the plaintiff does not have an attorney, proof of service upon the plaintiff.

If you have any questions, you should see an attorney immediately. If you need help in finding an attorney, you may call the Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service at (503) 684-3763 or toll free in Oregon at (800) 452-7636.

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/AUTHOR FOR PLAINTIFF

Craig A. Crispin, OSB No. 82485 CRISPIN EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS 500 Plaza West 9600 SW Oak Street Portland, OR 97223 (503) 293-5769

Trial Attorney: Craig A. Crispin

STATE OF OREGON)		
0 . 000 .1) ss.		
County of Klamath)		
I, the undersigned attorney of record for the plaintiff, certify that the foregoing is an exact and complete copy of the original			
summons in the above-entitled action.			
	Attorney of Record for Plaintiff		

TO THE OFFICER OR OTHER PERSON SERVING THIS SUMMONS: You are hereby directed to serve a true copy of this summons, together with a true copy of the complaint mentioned therein, upon the individual(s) or other legal extity(ies) to whom or which this summons is directed, and to make your proof of service on the reverse hereof, or upon a separate similar document which you shall attach.

Attorney of Record for Plaintiff

1 2 3 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON 4 FOR THE COUNTY OF KLAMATH 5 6 Civil No. 0704502 CV ROBERTA HAMRICK, 7 Plaintiff. COMPLAINT 8 (Sexual Harassment, Retaliation ORS v. 659.030; Wrongful Termination) 9 AQUA GLASS, INC., a foreign business 10 corporation, Defendant. 11 12 NATURE OF THE ACTION 13 1. This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices, to vindicate plaintiff's 14 rights and the rights of other employees to work in environments free from sexual harassment, 15 and to make plaintiff whole. This is also an action under ORS 659A.030 to correct unlawful 16 17 employment practices based on sex. 18 Defendant engaged in a pattern and practice of creating and maintaining a sexually harassing and hostile work environment amounting to employment discrimination and 19 retaliated against plaintiff for complaining and resisting such environment. 20 3. 21 The facts alleged herein occurred in Klamath County, Oregon. 22 PARTIES & PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS 23 4. Plaintiff ROBERTA HAMRICK is an individual resident and citizen of Oregon and, at material times, was an employee of defendant AQUA GLASS, INC, doing business in 24 25 Klamath Falls, Oregon. At all material times defendant AQUA GLASS, INC, was and is an employer 26 Page 1 - COMPLAINT CRISPIN EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS 500 Plaza West, 9600 SW Oak Street Portland, Oregon 97223-6597 Telephone: 503.293.5770

> Page 2, Ex. 1 Notice of Removal

- within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b) and ORS 659A.001(4), and is engaged in the
- 2 business of operating a manufacturing facility and employs more than 15 persons in the State of
- 3 Oregon.
- 4 6. Plaintiff filed charges of unlawful employment practices with the Oregon Bureau
- 5 of Labor and Industries, Civil Rights Division ("BOLI"), and complaints with the Equal
- 6 Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), regarding the statutory claims raised herein.
- 7 All administrative prerequisites to the statutory claims asserted herein have been 8 timely satisfied.
- 9 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
- 10 8. Plaintiff was employed by defendant as a swing shift finisher and finishing lead 11 from October 2005 to September 2006.
- 9. At relevant times, plaintiff reported to defendant's manager, Michael Miller,
- 13 Swing Shift Production Supervisor.
- 14 10. During plaintiff's employment, defendant's training manager, Megan Williams,
- 15 repeatedly touched and grabbed plaintiff's buttocks, legs, and shoulders and often purposefully
- 16 put her breasts in and near plaintiff's face.
- 17 During plaintiff's employment, Williams also often pressed her face close to
- 18 plaintiff's face and whispered sexually suggestive comments, implying that if plaintiff responded
- 19 favorably to Williams's conduct, Williams would ensure that plaintiff received a promotion.
- 20 12. Other employees were subjected to similar offensive touching and sexually
- 21 explicit and suggestive comments. Such conduct was open and notorious.
- 22 13. Plaintiff found the conduct of Williams offensive and unwelcome, and advised
- 23 her to stop.
- 24 14. Plaintiff resisted Williams' conduct, becoming visibly angry, and often
- 25 demanding that Williams stop her inappropriate conduct.
- 26 15. Due to plaintiff's refusal to go along with Williams's sexual demands and

Page 2 - COMPLAINT

- l plaintiffs' opposition to Williams' sexually explicit conduct and sexual demands, Williams
- 2 retaliated against plaintiff by imposing unwarranted discipline.
- 3 16. On or about September 18, 2006, while Williams was present in the workplace,
- 4 plaintiff reported the sexually harassing conduct by Williams to plaintiff's direct supervisor,
- 5 Miller.
- 6 17. Within hours of plaintiff's reporting and complaining of Williams's conduct,
- 7 Williams caused defendant to terminate Miller's employment.
- 8 18. Williams' reason for causing Miller's termination was to prevent him from
- 9 reporting Williams's misconduct.
- 10 19. Plaintiff was severely distressed at Williams' sexual advances and sexually
- 11 inappropriate conduct, and upon discovering Miller's termination became so emotionally
- 12 distressed that she was required to seek and continue medical treatment and has been unable to
- 13 return to work since.
- 14 First Claim For Relief
- 15 (Violations of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) Sexual Harassment)
- 16 20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 19 as
- 17 though fully set forth herein.
- 18 21. During plaintiff's employment, she was subjected to repeated and continuous
- 19 unwelcome and injurious sexual and sexually offensive conduct.
- 20 Williams's sexually inappropriate conduct was open and notorious, and defendant
- 21 knew or should have known of its existence.
- 22 23. Despite defendant's actual and/or constructive knowledge of the sexually hostile
- 23 work environment to which plaintiff was subjected, defendant failed to take prompt or effective
- 24 corrective action to cause the sexual harassment of plaintiff to stop, and the harassment
- 25 continued.
- 26 24. The sexual harassment was severe and/or pervasive and altered the conditions of

Page 3 - COMPLAINT

- l plaintiff's employment. A reasonable person would find the sexually objectionable environment
- 2 subjectively and objectively offensive, and plaintiff did perceive it to be so.
- 3 25. Defendant's maintenance of a sexually hostile working environment as alleged
- 4 herein violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Civil Rights Act of 1991.
- 5 26. Defendant's acts constitute a pattern and practice of sexually discriminatory
- 6 conduct directed toward plaintiff and other female employees, which should be permanently
- 7 enjoined by the court's entry of an injunction prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- 8 27. Plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that defendant's discriminatory acts and
- 9 failure to remedy the sexually hostile environment to which plaintiff was subjected were in
- 10 violation of plaintiff's statutory rights.
- 11 28. As a result of the unlawful actions alleged herein, plaintiff suffered economic
- 12 damages and is entitled to recover her lost wages and benefits of employment, other economic
- 13 losses, and her medical expenses and other incidental expenses incurred in connection with her
- 14 injuries caused by the actions alleged herein.
- 15 29. As a result of the unlawful actions alleged herein, plaintiff suffered severe
- 16 emotional distress during her employment and through the present, for which plaintiff is entitled
- 17 to compensatory damages sufficient to compensate her for her emotional pain and suffering and
- 18 other noneconomic losses, in an amount found appropriate by a jury based on the evidence
- 19 presented at trial.
- 20 30. Defendant's acts were done intentionally with an improper, abusive,
- 21 discriminatory, and retaliatory motive, and with reckless indifference to plaintiff's state and
- 22 federally protected rights. Defendant should be assessed punitive damages in an amount found
- 23 sufficient by a jury to punish defendant and defer defendant and others from similar conduct in
- 24 the future.
- 25 31. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney fees and costs, and expert
- 26 witness fees incurred herein, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(k).

Page 4 - COMPLAINT

1	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
2	(Violations of ORS 659A.030 - Sexual Harassment)
3	32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 24 and
4	26 through 28 as though fully set forth herein.
5	33. Defendant's maintenance of a sexually hostile working environment as alleged
6	herein constitutes an unlawful employment practice in the terms and conditions of plaintiff's
7	employment for which plaintiff should recover pursuant to ORS 659A.030.
8	34. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from defendants reasonable attorney fees and costs,
9	and expert witness fees pursuant to ORS 659A.885(1) and/or ORS 20.107.
10	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
11	(Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a) - Retaliation)
12	35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 31 as
13	though fully set forth herein.
14	36. As a result of plaintiff's opposition and resistance to Williams's sexually
15	harassing conduct, defendant and Williams imposed unwarranted discipline, purposefully
16	persisted in and escalated the sexual conduct, and refused to provide plaintiff with services
17	during plaintiff's disability related to insurance, leave, and related matters.
18	37. Defendant's actions as alleged herein were in retaliation for plaintiff's resistance
19	and opposition to defendant's violations of Title VII and in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a).
20	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
21	(Violations of ORS 659A.030 - Retaliation)
22	38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 24, 26
23	through 28, 33, 34, and 36 as though fully set forth herein.
24	39. Defendants' retaliatory conduct toward plaintiff was in violation of ORS
25	659A.030(1)(f).
2 6	•
	Page 5 – COMPLAINT CRISPIN EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS

CRISPIN EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS 500 Plaza West, 9600 SW Oak Street Portland, Oregon 97223-6597

Telephone: 503.293.5770

1		FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
2		(Wrongful Discharge)
3	40.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 30, 33,
4	34, 36, 37 and	39 as though fully set forth herein.
5	41.	Plaintiff left work due to medical reasons caused by defendant's course of sexual
6	harassment and	d retaliation, and by defendant's termination of the manager who had agreed to
7	assist plaintiff	in stopping the sexual harassment. Defendant knew or should have known that
8	such actions ar	nd circumstances would have compelled plaintiff to leave employment, or intended
9	such result, an	d plaintiff did leave employment as a result thereof.
10	42.	Due to the medical impact of defendant's actions, plaintiff has been unable to
11	work since her	departure from defendant's employ in or about September 2006 and is entitled to
12	recover lost wa	ages and benefits of employment through the date of trial, as well as her medical
13	expenses incur	rred as a result of defendant's actions.
14	43.	Plaintiff's constructive termination, as set forth herein, was motivated in
15	substantial par	t by her pursuit of a right related to her role as an employee, which right is one of
16	important pub	lic interest, i.e., her good faith opposition and resistance to defendant's
17	discriminatory	acts, and in violation of a duty imposed upon defendant by an established public
18	policy.	
19	44.	Plaintiff is entitled to all appropriate remedies as set forth herein in addition to
20	attorney fees p	oursuant to ORS 20.107.
21		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
22	WHE	REFORE, plaintiff requests the court to:
23	1.	Assume jurisdiction over each of the causes set forth herein.
24	2.	Grant a permanent injunction enjoining defendant, its owners, officers,
25	management p	personnel, employees, agents, successors, and assigns, and all persons in active
26	concert or par	ticipation with defendant, from engaging in any employment practice which
	Page 6 – COMP	LAINT CRISPIN EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS 500 Plaza West, 9600 SW Oak Street Portland, Oregon 97223-6597 Telephone: 503.293.5770

1	discriminates	on the basis of sex, on such terms as the court may direct.
2	3.	Order defendant to make plaintiff whole by compensating her for past and future
3	pecuniary los	ses, including expenses, lost past and future earnings and benefits of employment,
4	and such other	er losses as are proved at trial.
5	4.	Order defendant to pay plaintiff an award of compensatory damages for
6	noneconomic	c losses.
7	5.	Assess punitive damages against defendant.
8	6.	Award plaintiff her costs of suit and her reasonable attorney fees, costs and expert
9	witness fees	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(k), 42 U.S.C. § 1988, ORS 659A.885, and/or ORS
10	20.107.	
11	7.	Order defendants to pay prejudgment and postjudgment interest, as appropriate,
12	on all amoun	ts due to plaintiff as a result of this action.
13	8.	Order such further or alternative relief in favor of plaintiff as the court deems
14	appropriate.	
15		Crispin Employment Lawyers
16		
17		By:
18		Chaig A. Crispin, OSB No 82485 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff
19		02221101110, 0 100 1 100 100 100 100 100 100
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Page 7 - COMPLAINT